

UNITARY BRAID REPRESENTATIONS WITH FINITE IMAGE

MICHAEL J. LARSEN AND ERIC C. ROWELL

ABSTRACT. We characterize unitary representations of braid groups B_n of degree linear in n and finite images of such representations of degree exponential in n .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we prove two loosely connected results about unitary representations of the braid group $\phi: B_n \rightarrow U(d)$, when n is sufficiently large and the degree d is not too large compared to n . The original motivation goes back to the work of Jones on images of Braid groups in Hecke algebra representations $H(q, n)$. Jones showed [J1] that when $q = i$, the image of B_n in every irreducible factor of the Hecke algebra is finite; more explicitly, each such image is an extension of a symmetric group by a 2-group. This is in sharp contrast to the usual behavior of irreducible factors of Hecke algebra representations, in which the closure of the image of B_n contains all unimodular unitary matrices [FLW]. Birman and Wajnryb showed [BW] that when $q = e^{2\pi i/6}$, certain factors of $H(q, n)$ give rise to representations whose images are extensions of symplectic groups $\mathrm{Sp}(2r, \mathbb{F}_3)$ by 3-groups, where $n \approx 2r$ (see also [GJ]). It seems to be known by some experts, though so far as we know it has not appeared in print, that some other factors of $H(e^{2\pi i/6}, n)$ give rise to image groups which are extensions of $\mathrm{SU}(r+1, \mathbb{F}_2)$ by 2-groups. Other (extensions of) symplectic groups appear as quotients of the braid group; Wajnryb [W] has found explicit relations exhibiting $\mathrm{Sp}(2r, \mathbb{F}_p)$ as a quotient of B_{2r+1} for all p . We would like to explain in some sense or at least characterize the possibilities for finite images in such representations. Such a characterization is given in Theorem 4.5.

It appears to be typically the case that the image of B_n in $U(d)$ can be regarded as a linear group, whose rank is comparable to n , over a

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20F36; Secondary 20C15.

Michael Larsen was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0354772, Eric Rowell was partially supported by NSA grant H98230-08-1-0020.

finite field. We would like to systematically study all representations of B_n of dimension $O(n)$ over all fields. Such a study has been initiated for complex representations of degree $\leq n$ by Formanek and his coworkers in [F, FLSV, Sy]. In Theorem 3.3, we extend these results to higher multiples of n , but only for unitary representations. For general representations, we have only the very soft result Theorem 2.10, which is used to relate n and r in Theorem 4.5.

2. BRAID GROUPS

In this section we establish some basic facts concerning the braid groups B_n and their *representations* in the general sense of homomorphisms $\phi: B_n \rightarrow G$ where G is any group. Propositions 2.2 and 2.9 can be found in [F], but we include full proofs for the reader's convenience.

For each braid group B_n we fix generators $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad x_i x_j x_i = x_j x_i x_j \quad \text{if } |i - j| = 1,$$

$$(2.2) \quad x_i x_j = x_j x_i \quad \text{if } |i - j| \neq 1.$$

Definition 2.1. *We say a homomorphism $\phi: B_n \rightarrow G$ is constant if*

$$\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2) = \dots = \phi(x_{n-1}).$$

Proposition 2.2. *If $\phi: B_n \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism and $\phi(x_i)$ commutes with $\phi(x_{i+1})$ for some $i \leq n - 2$, then ϕ is constant.*

Proof. Applying (2.1) when $j = i + 1$, we get

$$\phi(x_i)^2 \phi(x_{i+1}) = \phi(x_{i+1})^2 \phi(x_i),$$

which implies $\phi(x_i) = \phi(x_{i+1})$. As x_i commutes with x_{i+2} , $\phi(x_{i+1}) = \phi(x_i)$ commutes with $\phi(x_{i+2})$. By induction on i ,

$$\phi(x_i) = \phi(x_{i+1}) = \dots = \phi(x_{n-1}).$$

Likewise, $\phi(x_{i-1})$ and $\phi(x_i) = \phi(x_{i+1})$ commute, so $\phi(x_i) = \phi(x_{i-1})$, and by downward induction,

$$\phi(x_i) = \phi(x_{i-1}) = \dots = \phi(x_1).$$

□

Corollary 2.3. *If $\phi(x_i) \in Z(G)$ for some i , then ϕ is constant.*

Corollary 2.4. *If $\phi(x_i) = \phi(x_{i+1})$ for some i , then ϕ is constant.*

If $j \geq i$, we use the notation $X_{[i,j]}$ for the product $x_i x_{i+1} \dots x_j$; if $j < i$, we define $X_{[i,j]}$ to be the identity.

Lemma 2.5. *For $k \geq 3$ and $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$, we have*

$$X_{[1,k]} x_i X_{[1,k]}^{-1} = x_{i+1}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
X_{[1,k]}x_iX_{[1,k]}^{-1} &= X_{[1,i-1]}x_ix_{i+1}X_{[i+2,k]}x_iX_{[i+2,k]}^{-1}x_{i+1}^{-1}x_i^{-1}X_{[1,i-1]}^{-1} \\
&= X_{[1,i-1]}x_ix_{i+1}x_ix_{i+1}^{-1}x_i^{-1}X_{[1,i-1]}^{-1} \\
&= X_{[1,i-1]}x_{i+1}X_{[1,i-1]}^{-1} \\
&= x_{i+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.6. *If $1 \leq i, j, k, l \leq n-1$, $|i-j| \geq 2$, $|k-l| \geq 2$, then there exists $z = z_{i,j,k,l} \in B_n$ such that*

$$zx_iz^{-1} = x_k, \quad zx_jz^{-1} = z_l.$$

Proof. First we assume $i < j$ and $k < l$. By Lemma 2.5, without loss of generality we may assume $j = l = n-1$. As $X_{[1,n-3]}$ commutes with x_{n-1} , the ordered pair (x_i, x_{n-1}) can be conjugated to (x_{i+1}, x_{n-1}) as long as $1 \leq i \leq n-4$. By induction on i , all the (x_i, x_{n-1}) with $i \leq n-3$ are conjugate.

To treat the case that $i > j$ or $k > l$, it suffices to prove that (x_1, x_3) can be conjugated to (x_3, x_1) . Letting

$$y = x_1x_2x_3x_1x_2x_1 = x_1x_2x_1x_3x_2x_1,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
yx_1 &= x_1x_2x_3x_1x_2x_1x_1 = x_1x_2x_3x_2x_1x_2x_1 = x_1x_3x_2x_3x_1x_2x_1 = x_3y; \\
yx_3 &= x_1x_2x_1x_3x_2x_3x_1 = x_1x_2x_1x_2x_3x_2x_1 = x_1x_1x_2x_1x_3x_2x_1 = x_1y.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Now let $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 0$ be a central extension. We write $[h_1, h_2]^\sim$ for the commutator $g_1g_2g_1^{-1}g_2^{-1} \in G$, where g_i is any element mapping to h_i . As the extension is central, this is well-defined.

Lemma 2.7. *If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} H \rightarrow 0$ is a central extension and $\phi: B_n \rightarrow G$ is a homomorphism such that $\pi \circ \phi$ is constant, then ϕ is constant.*

Proof. Any two elements of G which map to the same element of H must commute. The lemma therefore follows from Proposition 2.2. □

Proposition 2.8. *If $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} H \rightarrow 0$ is a central extension and $\phi: B_n \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism such that $[\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j)]^\sim = 1$ for some i, j with $|i-j| \geq 2$, then ϕ lifts to a homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}: B_n \rightarrow G$.*

Proof. As $[\]^\sim$ respects conjugation, Lemma 2.6 implies

$$[\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j)]^\sim = 1$$

for all i, j with $|i - j| \geq 2$. Fix an element $\tilde{x}_1 \in G$ with $\pi(\tilde{x}_1) = \phi(x_1)$ and an element $\tilde{y} \in G$ with $\pi(\tilde{y}) = \phi(X_{[1, n-1]})$. By Lemma 2.5,

$$\pi(\tilde{y}^k \tilde{x}_1 \tilde{y}^{-k}) = \phi(x_{k+1}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n-2.$$

Let

$$g_i = \tilde{y}^{i-1} \tilde{x}_1 \tilde{y}^{1-i}.$$

Thus g_i and g_j commute when $|i - j| \neq 1$, and the elements

$$a_i := g_i g_{i+1} g_i^{-1} g_{i+1}^{-1} g_i^{-1}$$

are all conjugate in G and lie in A . Thus, they all coincide; denoting this common element a , and setting $\tilde{x}_i = a^i g_i$, we have $\pi(\tilde{x}_i) = \phi(x_i)$, and the $\tilde{x}_i$ satisfy the relations (2.1) and (2.2). Defining a homomorphism $\tilde{\phi}$ by the equations $\tilde{\phi}(x_i) = \tilde{x}_i$, we see that $\tilde{\phi}$ is a lift of ϕ . $\square$

Proposition 2.9. *If $n \geq 6$, then every homomorphism from B_n to a solvable group G is constant.*

Proof. We use induction on the length of the derived series. The proposition follows immediately from Corollary 2.3 when G is abelian, so without loss of generality we may assume that the last non-trivial term A in the derived series of G is a proper subgroup of G . By the induction hypothesis, any homomorphism $B_n \rightarrow G/A$ is constant. We therefore choose an element $g \in G$ and a sequence $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in Z$ such that $\phi(x_i) = a_i g$ for $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Writing a^g for $g a g^{-1}$, we have

$$a_i a_j^g g^2 = \phi(x_i x_j) = \phi(x_j x_i) = a_j a_i^g g^2$$

and therefore

$$a_i^{-1} a_i^g = a_j^{-1} a_j^g$$

whenever $|i - j| \geq 2$. The graph on the vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ defined by the relation $|i - j| \geq 2$ is connected for $n \geq 6$. Thus,

$$a_1^{-1} a_1^g = \dots = a_{n-1}^{-1} a_{n-1}^g = a$$

for some $a \in A$. The braid relation (2.1) for $j = i + 1$ implies

$$a^3 a_i^2 a_{i+1} = a_i a_{i+1}^g a_i^{g^2} = a_{i+1} a_i^g a_{i+1}^{g^2} = a^3 a_i a_{i+1}^2,$$

so $a_1 = \dots = a_{n-1}$, and ϕ is constant as claimed. $\square$

Theorem 2.10. *If $\mathcal{G}$ is a linear algebraic group over a field K with solvable component group, and $n \geq 6\sqrt{\dim \mathcal{G}} + 3$, then every homomorphism $B_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K)$ is constant.*

Proof. We assume without loss of generality that K is algebraically closed. We prove by induction on r that the theorem is true whenever $2\sqrt{\dim \mathcal{G}} \leq r$ and $n \geq 3r$ the base case $r = 1$ being trivial. If $r \geq 2$, then $n \geq 6$, so the composition of $\phi: B_n \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K)$ with the quotient map $\mathcal{G}(K) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(K)/\mathcal{G}^\circ(K)$ is constant. We may therefore assume that $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{G}^\circ$ is cyclic. We may also assume that the theorem is true in dimension $< \dim \mathcal{G}$. If $\mathcal{U}$ denotes the unipotent radical of $\mathcal{G}^\circ$, then $\mathcal{U}$ is a normal algebraic subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. If the composition homomorphism $B_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{U})(K)$ is constant, then B_n maps to a solvable subgroup of $\mathcal{G}(K)$, namely, an extension of the (cyclic) image of this homomorphism by $\mathcal{U}(K)$. By Proposition 2.9, this implies that ϕ is constant. Without loss of generality, therefore, we may assume that $\mathcal{G}$ is reductive. Likewise, composing ϕ with the quotient of $\mathcal{G}$ by the center of $\mathcal{G}^\circ$, we may assume without loss of generality that $\mathcal{G}^\circ$ is adjoint semisimple.

If there exist positive dimensional normal subgroups $\mathcal{N}_1, \dots, \mathcal{N}_t$ of $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{N}_1(K) \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{N}_t(K) = \{1\}$, then the compositions of ϕ with the projections $\mathcal{G}(K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{N}_i)(K)$ are all constant, and therefore ϕ is constant. If $\mathcal{G}^\circ$ has at least two non-isomorphic simple factors, then the product of all factors of any one type is a proper normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$. We may therefore assume that $\mathcal{G}^\circ \cong \mathcal{H}^k$ for some positive integer k and some (adjoint) simple algebraic group $\mathcal{H}$. Moreover, conjugation by a generator of $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{H}^k$ induces a well-defined outer automorphism of $\mathcal{H}^k$ and therefore a permutation σ of the factors, which are the minimal non-trivial normal subgroups of $\mathcal{H}^k$. Without loss of generality we may assume that this permutation is a k -cycle, since otherwise, each orbit of σ determines a product of factors $\mathcal{H}$ which is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $x = \phi(x_{n-2})^{-1}\phi(x_{n-1})$, and let B_{n-3} denote the subgroup of B_n generated by $x_1, \dots, x_{n-4}$. Thus, x lies in $\mathcal{H}(K)^k$ and $\phi(B_{n-3})$ lies in the centralizer of x in $\mathcal{G}(K)$. If x is the identity, then ϕ is constant by Corollary 2.4. Therefore, the image of x in one of the factors of $\mathcal{H}(K)^k$ is non-trivial, so without loss of generality we may assume that the centralizer $\mathcal{Z}_x \subset \mathcal{G}$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{Z}_x \cap \mathcal{H}^k \subset \mathcal{H}_1 \times \mathcal{H}^{k-1},$$

where $\mathcal{H}_1$ is the centralizer of a non-trivial element x_1 of $\mathcal{H}$. Since every element $x_1 \in \mathcal{H}(K)$ has a Jordan decomposition, and the centralizer of x_1 is contained both in the centralizer of its unipotent part and in that of its semisimple part, without loss of generality we may assume that $\mathcal{H}_1$ is the centralizer of either a semisimple or a unipotent element. We have seen that $\phi(B_{n-3})$ lies in $\mathcal{Z}_x(K)$. Suppose $\mathcal{Z}_x$ fails to meet every component of $\mathcal{G}$. Then the factors of $\mathcal{H}^k$ form $t \geq 2$ orbits of

equal cardinality under conjugation by $\mathcal{Z}_x$. Let $\mathcal{N}_i$ denote the algebraic subgroup of elements in $\mathcal{Z}_x \cap \mathcal{H}^k$ which are trivial on all factors $\mathcal{H}$ belonging to the i th orbit of this action, it suffices to prove that the composition ϕ_i of $\phi|_{B_{n-3}}$ with the projection $\mathcal{Z}_x(K) \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}_x/\mathcal{N}_i)(K)$ is constant for each i . However,

$$\dim \mathcal{Z}_x/\mathcal{N}_i \leq \frac{\dim \mathcal{H}^k}{t} = \frac{\dim \mathcal{G}}{t} \leq r^2/8 \leq ((r-1)^2)/4$$

since $\mathcal{G}$ non-trivial and semisimple implies $r \geq 4$. Thus, the induction hypothesis implies that each ϕ_i is constant and therefore that ϕ is constant.

This leaves the case that $t = 1$. In this case, $\mathcal{Z}_x \cap \mathcal{H}^k$ is contained in a product $\mathcal{H}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{H}_k$ where each $\mathcal{H}_i$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}_1$. If $\mathcal{R}_i$ denotes the radical of $\mathcal{H}_i^\circ$, then $\mathcal{R}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_k$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{Z}_x$, and it suffices to prove that every homomorphism

$$B_n \rightarrow (\mathcal{Z}_x/(\mathcal{R}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_k))(K)$$

is constant. The component group of $\mathcal{Z}_x$ is isomorphic to an extension of a cyclic group by $(\mathcal{H}_1/\mathcal{H}_1^\circ)^k$. If $\mathcal{H}_1$ is the centralizer of a semisimple element, the group of components is commutative. This is an immediate consequence of the theorem of Springer-Steinberg asserting that the centralizer of a semisimple element in a simply-connected semisimple group is connected. If $\mathcal{H}_1$ is the centralizer of a unipotent element, the component group $\mathcal{H}_1/\mathcal{H}_1^\circ$ is either solvable or isomorphic to S_5 , by a theorem of Alexeevski [A] and Mizuno [M]. Except in the last case, which can only occur if $\mathcal{H} \cong E_8$, the component group of $\mathcal{Z}_x/(\mathcal{R}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathcal{R}_k)$ is solvable, so the induction hypothesis applies. Every automorphism of $S_5 \cong \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{F}_5)$ is inner and therefore extends to an automorphism of the algebraic group PGL_2 in characteristic 5. In the case of S_5 , $n \geq 6\sqrt{248k} + 3$, so $n - 3 \geq 6\sqrt{3k} + 3$. Thus, the induction hypothesis implies that any homomorphism from B_{n-3} to an extension of $\mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ by S_5^k is constant, and replacing $\mathcal{Z}_x$ with a suitable open subgroup, we may again assume that $\mathcal{Z}_x/\mathcal{Z}_x^\circ$ is solvable.

Finally, applying the classification of maximal subgroups of simple algebraic groups [Se1, Se2], we see that

$$2\sqrt{\dim(\mathcal{H}_1/\mathcal{R}_1)} < 2\sqrt{\dim \mathcal{H}} - 1.$$

The theorem now follows by induction. □

A variant of this argument gives the following:

Theorem 2.11. *If H is a finite simple group, $G := H^k \rtimes C$, where C is solvable, and $n \geq 3 \log_2 k |H|$, then every homomorphism $B_n \rightarrow G$ is constant.*

Proof. If $|G| \leq 5$, then $|G|$ is abelian, so without loss of generality we may assume $n \geq 6$. Following the proof of Theorem 2.10, we may therefore assume that $C \cong \mathbb{Z}/k\mathbb{Z}$ cyclically permutes the factors of H^k . Given $\phi: B_n \rightarrow G$, we let $x = \phi(x_{n-2})^{-1} \phi(x_{n-1})$, and let Z_x denote the centralizer of x in G . As $x \in H^k$, we fix a factor on which the projection of x is non-trivial, and let H_1 denote the centralizer of this projection of x in this factor. If Z_x does not map onto C , then there exist normal subgroups $N_1, \dots, N_t$ of Z_x with $N_1 \cap \dots \cap N_t = \{1\}$ such that each Z_x/N_i is contained in a group of the form $H^d \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$, where d is a proper divisor of k , so $\log_2 d \leq \log_2 k - 1$. By the induction hypothesis every homomorphism from B_{n-3} to Z_x/N_i is constant, so $\phi|_{B_{n-3}}$ is constant, and as $n \geq 6$, this means that $\phi(x_1) = \phi(x_2)$ and therefore that ϕ is constant.

If Z_x does map onto C , then Z_x is a subgroup of a group isomorphic to $H_1^k \rtimes C$, where H_1 is the centralizer of a non-trivial element of H . As $\log_2 |H_1| \leq \log_2 |H|$, the induction hypothesis implies that $\phi|_{B_{n-3}}$ is constant and therefore that ϕ is constant. $\square$

3. REPRESENTATIONS OF LINEARLY BOUNDED DEGREE

In this section, we examine the possible degrees of low-dimensional unitary representations of a braid group B_n . The complex irreducible representations of degree $\leq n$ of B_n have been completely described [FLSV, Sy]. The constant representations have degree 1, and the non-constant representations in this range have degree $n - 2$, $n - 1$, or n . Sysoeva [Sy] has announced that there are no irreducible representations of degree $n + 1$ for n sufficiently large, and has conjectured that such a statement holds for degree $n + k$ as well.

In this section, we consider the irreducible unitary representations of B_n of degree $\leq ln$ where l is a fixed integer and n is sufficiently large in terms of l .

We say that a sequence $d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots$ is *weakly convex* if the sequence of differences $d_1 - d_0, d_2 - d_1, \dots$ is non-increasing.

Lemma 3.1. *If $d_0, d_1, \dots$ is a weakly convex sequence and $i < j < k$, then there exists an integer s such that*

$$\frac{d_j - d_i}{j - i} \leq s \leq \frac{d_k - d_j}{k - j}.$$

Proof. Setting $s = d_{j+1} - d_j$, the lemma follows immediately. $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let V be a finite-dimensional vector space, $W \subset V$ a subspace, and $T: V \rightarrow V$ an invertible linear transformation. The sequence $d_0, d_1, d_2, \dots$ defined by $d_0 := \dim V$ and*

$$d_k := \dim W \cap T(W) \cap T^2(W) \cap \dots \cap T^{k-1}(W), \quad k \geq 1$$

is weakly convex.

Proof. Define $W_0 = V$, and

$$W_k := W \cap T(W) \cap T^2(W) \cap \dots \cap T^{k-1}(W), \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then

$$d_k - d_{k+1} = \dim W_k - \dim W_{k+1} = \dim W_k / W_{k+1}$$

As T^{-1} maps W_{k+1} to W_k and W_{k+2} to W_{k+1} , it induces a map

$$W_{k+1} / W_{k+2} \rightarrow W_k / W_{k+1}.$$

As

$$W_{k+1} \cap T(W_{k+1}) = W_{k+2},$$

this linear transformation is injective, so

$$d_k - d_{k+1} \geq d_{k+1} - d_{k+2}.$$

$\square$

We apply this lemma in the following way. Let V be a finite-dimensional complex vector space endowed with a Hermitian inner product, and $\phi: B_n \rightarrow U(V)$ an irreducible unitary representation. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we define $W = W^\lambda$ to be the λ -eigenspace of $\phi(x_1)$. By Lemma 2.5 there exists $y \in B_n$ such that $yx_i y^{-1} = x_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$. We set $T = \phi(y)$. Now, $w \in W^\lambda$, if and only if

$$(\phi(x_1) - \lambda)(w) = 0.$$

For any k , this is equivalent to

$$(\phi(y^{1-k} x_k y^{k-1}) - \lambda)(w) = 0,$$

or to

$$(\phi(x_k) - \lambda)(\phi(y^{k-1})(w)) = 0.$$

Thus, the λ -eigenspace of $\phi(x_k)$ is $T^{k-1}(W^\lambda)$.

We say that an irreducible representation $\phi: B_n \rightarrow U(m)$ is of *level* k if one of the following is true:

- (1) $k = 0$ and $m = 1$.
- (2) $k \geq 1$ and $kn - (k^2 + 3k - 2) \leq m \leq kn$.

Theorem 3.3. *For every integer $l \geq 1$ and every integer n sufficiently large in terms of l , every irreducible unitary representation of the braid group B_n of degree $\leq ln$ is of some (unique) level $k \leq l$.*

Proof. As

$$(k-1)n < kn - (k^2 + 3k - 2)$$

when n is sufficiently large, uniqueness is clear. For existence, we use induction on l , the $l = 1$ case being known [FLSV]. For given $l \geq 2$, let $\phi: B_n \rightarrow \text{Aut}(V)$ be an irreducible unitary representation of degree $\leq ln$. We may therefore assume that

$$(3.1) \quad (l-1)n + 1 \leq \dim V \leq ln - (l^2 + 3l - 1)$$

We write B_{n-1} and B_{n-2} for the subgroups of B_n generated by x_i with $1 \leq i \leq n-2$ and $1 \leq i \leq n-3$ respectively.

For each eigenvalue μ of $\phi(x_{n-1})$, let X^μ denote the μ -eigenspace. As B_{n-2} commutes with x_{n-1} , $\phi(B_{n-2})$ acts on X^μ . We say that X^μ *splits* if it is a direct sum of constant representations of B_{n-2} . A sufficient condition that X^μ splits is

$$\dim X^\mu \leq n - 5,$$

as the minimum degree of a non-constant representation of B_{n-2} is $n-4$. Let X denote the direct sum of all irreducible 1-dimension factors of B_{n-2} in V , so X contains the sum of all split X^μ . Let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ be the constants appearing in X regarded as a B_{n-2} -representation, and let W^{λ_i} denote the λ_i -eigenspace of $\phi(x_1)$ on V , which of course contains the λ_i -eigenspace of $\phi(x_1)$ on X . Thus $W_j^{\lambda_i}$ is the intersection of the λ_i -eigenspaces of $\phi(x_1), \dots, \phi(x_j)$. As $W_{n-1}^{\lambda_i} = \{0\}$, Lemma 3.2 implies

$$\dim W_j^{\lambda_i} \geq \frac{n-1-j}{2} \dim W_{n-3}^{\lambda_i},$$

for $1 \leq j \leq n-3$. If $\dim X \geq 2l+1$,

$$ln \geq \sum_i \dim W_1^{\lambda_i} \geq \frac{n-2}{2} \dim X \geq ln + (n/2 - 2l - 1),$$

Assuming $n > 4l+2$, we may therefore conclude that $\dim X \leq 2l$.

We consider first the case that there are at least two different eigenvalues μ_i such that X^{μ_i} does not split. For each $\mu \in \{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_r\}$, let X_{ns}^μ denote the orthogonal complement in X^μ of the direct sum of all constant representations of B_{n-2} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V - \dim X_{ns}^\mu &\leq ln - (l^2 + 3l - 1) - (n - 4) \\ &= (l-1)(n-2) - (l^2 + l - 3) \\ &< (l-1)(n-2), \end{aligned}$$

so $\bigoplus_{\mu_i \neq \mu} X_{ns}^{\mu_i}$ satisfies the induction hypothesis for representations of B_{n-2} , and the same is true of each irreducible factor of each $X_{ns}^{\mu_i}$. Each irreducible factor of $X_{ns}^{\mu_i}$ therefore has a level. Letting $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_s \geq 1$ denote the sequence of levels, we have

$$\dim V = \dim X + \sum_{i=1}^s \dim X_{ns}^{\mu_i},$$

so

$$(k_1 + \dots + k_s)(n-2) - \sum_{i=1}^s (k_i^2 + 3k_i - 2) \leq \dim V \leq 2l + (k_1 + \dots + k_s)(n-2)$$

For n sufficiently large in terms of l , this, together with (3.1) implies $k_1 + \dots + k_s = l$. As $x^2 + 3x - 2$ is convex, for any fixed values of $s \geq 2$ and l , the sum of $k_i^2 + 3k_i - 2$ is minimized, subject to the constraints $k_i \geq 1$ and $k_1 + \dots + k_s = l$, when all but one value of k_i is 1. As the difference between values of $x^2 + 3x - 2$ for consecutive positive integers exceeds the value at $x = 1$, if s is constrained to be greater than 1 but otherwise can be chosen freely, the sum of $k_i^2 + 3k_i - 2$ is maximized when $s = 2$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V &\geq (k_1 + \dots + k_s)(n-2) - \sum_{i=1}^s (k_i^2 + 3k_i - 2) \\ &\geq ln - 2l - (l-1)^2 - 3(l-1) + 2 - 2 \\ &= ln - (l^2 + 3l - 2). \end{aligned}$$

This leaves the case that there exists a unique μ such that X_{ns}^{μ} is not zero. Let X_i^{μ} denote the intersection of the μ -eigenspaces of $x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \dots, x_{n-i}$. By Lemma 3.2, applying Lemma 3.1 for $0 < i < j$,

$$\dim X_i^{\mu} - \dim X_j^{\mu} \leq (j-i) \left\lfloor \frac{\dim V - \dim X_i^{\mu}}{i} \right\rfloor.$$

If

$$\dim V - \dim X_l^{\mu} < l^2,$$

then setting $j = n-1$ and $i = l$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim V &\leq l^2 - 1 + \dim X_l^{\mu} \leq l^2 - 1 + \dim X_l^{\mu} - \dim X_{n-1}^{\mu} \\ &\leq l^2 - 1 + (n-l-1) \left\lfloor \frac{l^2 - 1}{l} \right\rfloor \\ &= (l-1)n, \end{aligned}$$

which for n sufficiently large is inconsistent with (3.1). On the other hand,

$$\dim V - \dim X^\mu \leq 2l,$$

so

$$\dim V - \dim X_l^\mu \leq 2l^2.$$

Assuming that $2l^2 \leq n - l - 6$, this implies that the orthogonal complement of X_l^μ is a split representation of B_{n-l-1} , the subgroup of B_n generated by $x_1, \dots, x_{n-l-2}$.

Let λ_i denote the eigenvalues of this representation. We have

$$\sum_i \dim W_{n-l-2}^{\lambda_i} \geq l^2.$$

On the other hand, $\dim W_{n-1}^{\lambda_i} = 0$. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2,

$$\dim W_1^{\lambda_i} - \dim W_{n-l-2}^{\lambda_i} \geq (n-l-3) \left\lceil \frac{\dim W_{n-l-2}^{\lambda_i}}{l+1} \right\rceil.$$

As $\lceil x/(l+1) \rceil$ is superadditive in x and $\lceil l^2/(l+1) \rceil = l$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_i \dim W_1^{\lambda_i} &\geq \sum_i \dim W_{n-l-2}^{\lambda_i} + (n-l-3) \left\lceil \frac{\dim W_{n-l-2}^{\lambda_i}}{l+1} \right\rceil \\ &\geq l^2 + (n-l-3)l = nl - 3l, \end{aligned}$$

contrary to (3.1). $\square$

In particular by the proof of Theorem 3.3 we see that B_n has no irreducible $(n+1)$ -dimensional unitary representations for $n \geq 16$. The actual lower bound is at least 8 as B_7 has irreducible 8-dimensional unitary representations (factoring over the Hecke algebra $H(i, 7)$, see [J1]).

Theorem 3.3 can be extended to projective unitary representations. In fact, we have the following proposition:

Proposition 3.4. *Every irreducible projective unitary representation of B_n of degree $d \leq 2^{n/6}$ lifts to a linear representation of B_n .*

Proof. The proposition is trivial for $n \leq 5$. We may therefore assume $n \geq 6$. Thus there exists a sequence $a_1 < \dots < a_{2m}$ of positive odd integers less than n , with $m \geq n/6$. Let $y_i = x_{a_i}$. The generators y_i commute with one another. The central extension

$$0 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow U(d) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{PSU}(d) \rightarrow 0$$

defines a commutator map $[\]^\sim$. By Lemma 2.6, $[\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j)]^\sim$ is independent of the pair (i, j) provided $|i - j| \geq 2$. It is therefore symmetric as well as antisymmetric and consequently takes values ± 1 . If

$[\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j)]^\sim = 1$ for some (and therefore all) (i, j) with $|i - j| \geq 2$, then by Proposition 2.7, ϕ lifts to a homomorphism to $U(m)$.

We therefore assume that $[\phi(y_i), \phi(y_j)]^\sim = -1$ for all $i \neq j$. Let

$$a_i = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{2i-1}, \quad b_i = y_1 y_2 \cdots y_{2i-2} y_{2i}.$$

Then

$$[a_i, a_j]^\sim = [b_i, b_j]^\sim = 1, \quad [a_i, b_j]^\sim = (-1)^{\delta_{ij}}.$$

Let

$$G_i := \pi^{-1}(\phi(\langle a_i, b_i \rangle)).$$

Clearly, the restriction of the standard representation of $U(m)$ to G_i has no 1-dimensional components. The subgroups $G_1, \dots, G_m \subset U(d)$ commute in pairs and give rise to a homomorphism $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m \rightarrow U(d)$. The restriction of the standard representation of $U(m)$ to this product decomposes as a sum of irreducible representations of $G_1 \times \cdots \times G_m$, each of which is an external tensor product of representations of the G_i , each of degree > 1 . Therefore, $d \geq 2^m$. $\square$

4. REPRESENTATIONS OF EXPONENTIALLY BOUNDED DEGREE

In this section we fix a constant c and consider non-constant unitary representations of B_n , $n \geq 6$, of degree $d \leq c^n$ with finite image. We are interested in the behavior of $G := \rho(B_n)$. By Proposition 2.9, G cannot be solvable.

Definition 4.1. *A finite group G is almost characteristically simple if there exists a (non-abelian) finite simple group H and a positive integer k such that $H^k < G < \text{Aut}(H^k)$. We say G is of permutation type if H is isomorphic to the alternating group A_n for some $n \geq 5$.*

Proposition 4.2. *If G is any finite group which is not solvable and K is maximal among normal subgroups of G such that G/K is not solvable, then G/K is almost characteristically simple.*

Proof. Replacing G by G/K , we may assume that G is not solvable but every non-trivial quotient group of G is. In particular, G has no non-trivial normal abelian subgroup. Let H^k denote a characteristically simple normal subgroup of G . Thus, H is non-abelian. Let K denote the centralizer of H^k in G . Then K is a normal subgroup. The quotient G/K is not solvable because it contains the homomorphic image of H^k , which is isomorphic to H^k itself since the center of H is trivial. It follows that G/K is trivial and therefore that the action of G on H^k by conjugation is faithful, i.e., $H^k < G < \text{Aut}(H^k)$. $\square$

Definition 4.3. *If G is a finite group which is not solvable, a minimal quotient is any group of the form G/K where K is maximal among normal subgroups of G such that G/K is not solvable.*

Definition 4.4. *A finite group is of classical type of rank r if it is a finite simple group of the form $A_r(q)$, ${}^2A_r(q)$, $B_r(q)$, $C_r(q)$, $D_r(q)$, or ${}^2D_r(q)$*

Roughly speaking, a finite simple group is of classical type if it is a linear, unitary, orthogonal, or symplectic group over a finite field.

Theorem 4.5. *For every constant c there exist positive constants A , B , K , N , and Q such that for all $n > N$ and all $\rho: B_n \rightarrow U(d)$ with $d \leq c^n$ and finite image G , every minimal quotient of G is either of permutation type or of the form $H^k \rtimes \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$, where H is a finite simple group of classical type of rank r . In the latter case, $1 \leq k \leq K$, $2 \leq q \leq Q$, and $An \leq r \leq Bn$.*

Proof. A minimal quotient is of the form $H^k \rtimes C$, where C is solvable and H is simple. By hypothesis, H is not an alternating group. By Theorem 2.11, if n is sufficiently large, then $|H|$ can be taken to be as large as we wish; in particular, we exclude that case that H is sporadic. By Theorem 2.10, if n is sufficiently large and H is of Lie type, the dimension of the underlying simple algebraic group must be $> \epsilon n^2$ for some absolute constant $\epsilon > 0$, so the rank r of the group must be greater than An for some absolute constant $A > 0$. Thus, we may assume that H is a perfect group whose universal central extension is $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a simply connected semisimple algebraic group over $\mathbb{F}$ which is absolutely simple modulo its center and of rank $r \geq 9$.

Let G_0 denote the inverse image of $H^k \subset H^k \rtimes C$ in G . We have a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow H^k \rightarrow 0,$$

which we pull back to a short exact sequence

$$(4.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow J \rightarrow \tilde{G}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})^k \rightarrow 0.$$

As $\tilde{G}_0$ is a central extension of G_0 , the faithful representation $G_0 \rightarrow U(d)$ gives rise to an almost faithful d -dimensional representation of $\tilde{G}_0$. We claim that this implies that d is greater than or equal to the degree of the minimal non-trivial representation of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})$. Let $X \subset \text{Hom}(Z(J), \mathbb{C}^\times)$ denote the set of characters obtained by restricting $\tilde{G}_0 \rightarrow U(d)$ to the abelian group $Z(J)$. Thus $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})^k$ acts on X . If this action is non-trivial, then the permutation representation of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})^k$ acting on X is non-trivial and therefore contains a non-trivial factor.

The minimal degree for a non-trivial representation of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})^k$ is the same as that for $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})$. We may therefore assume that $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})^k$ acts trivially on X . This implies that the action of $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})^k$ on $Z(J)$ preserves both $Z(\tilde{G}_0) \subset Z(J)$ and $Z(\tilde{G}_0)/Z(J)$ pointwise. As $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})^k$ is perfect, any action of this group on an abelian group which fixes a subgroup and quotient group pointwise is trivial. It follows that $Z(J)$ lies in the center of $\tilde{G}_0$. The non-abelian cohomology class which determines whether (4.1) splits lies in $H^2(\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})^k, J)$, which is a principal homogeneous space of $H^2(\mathcal{H}(K)^k, Z(J))$. The latter is trivial since $\mathcal{H}(K)^k$ is centrally closed. Therefore, G_0 contains a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{F})^k$, and restricting V to this subgroup, we see that our claim holds.

The Seitz-Landazuri bound [LS] on the minimal degree projective representations of finite simple groups of Lie types now implies that $q^{kr/n}$ is bounded in terms of c . Given that $r/n > A$, this gives upper bounds Q and K for q and k , and given that $q \geq 2$, $k \geq 1$, this gives an upper bound B for r/n . □

We remark that the theorem can be extended in two ways without essentially modifying the proof. On the one hand, we need not assume that the representation V is unitary. On the other hand, if V is unitary, we need not assume that $\rho(B_n)$ is finite; we can take the closure of the image, obtain a compact Lie group, and characterize the *group of components* of this Lie group without assuming that the identity component is trivial.

5. AN APPLICATION

We would like to describe a general setting in which one obtains sequences of unitary representations of the braid group of exponentially bounded degree. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be any unitary premodular (=ribbon fusion) category (see [T, Chapter II.5]). In particular this means that $\mathcal{C}$ is semisimple with finitely many (isomorphism classes of) simple objects $\{X_0, \dots, X_r\}$ and the morphism spaces are finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -vector spaces. Moreover, such a category is equipped with a conjugation and a positive definite Hermitian form with respect to which each $\text{End}(X^{\otimes n})$ is a Hilbert space. The braiding isomorphisms $c_{X,Y} : X \otimes Y \cong Y \otimes X$ induce unitary representations $\rho_n^X : B_n \rightarrow U(\text{End}(X^{\otimes n}))$ via:

$$\rho_n^X(\sigma_i)f = \text{Id}_X^{\otimes i-1} \otimes c_{X,X} \otimes \text{Id}_X^{\otimes n-i-1} \circ f$$

for any object X , where the B_n -invariance of the Hermitian form is included in the axioms. By semisimplicity of $\text{End}(X^{\otimes n})$ the spaces

$\text{Hom}(X_j, X^{\otimes n})$ for simple X_j are equivalent to (potentially reducible) unitary B_n subrepresentations of $\text{End}(X^{\otimes n})$.

We will show that $\dim \text{Hom}(X_j, X^{\otimes n})$ is exponentially bounded. For simplicity of notation we assume that $X = X_i$ is a simple object and each object is isomorphic to its dual; the general case is essentially the same. For each simple object X_i we define a (symmetric) matrix N_i whose (j, k) -entry is $\dim \text{Hom}(X_k, X_i \otimes X_j)$. The matrices N_i , $0 \leq i \leq r$ pairwise commute, and are clearly non-negative. Let d_i be the Perron-Frobenius eigenvalue of N_i , *i.e.* the largest eigenvalue. Setting $D = \max\{d_i\}$ we will show that $\dim \text{Hom}(X_j, X^{\otimes n}) \leq D^n$. First observe that $d_i \geq 1$, since $|\lambda| \leq d_i$ for all other eigenvalues λ and clearly $(N_i)^n \neq 0$ for all n . It follows from the Perron-Frobenius Theorem that the vector $\mathbf{d} = (d_0, d_1, \dots, d_r)^T$ is a strictly positive eigenvector with eigenvalue d_i for each N_i , uniquely determined up to rescaling (one applies the Perron-Frobenius Theorem to the strictly positive matrix $M := \sum_i N_i$, see e.g. [ENO]). Now denoting by $\mathbf{e}_i$ the i th standard basis vector for $\mathbb{R}^r$, we see that $\dim(X_j, X_i^{\otimes n})$ is the j th entry of $(N_i)^{n-1} \mathbf{e}_i$ which is less than or equal to the j th entry of $(N_i)^{n-1} \mathbf{d} = (d_i)^{n-1} \mathbf{d}$ which in turn is bounded by D^n .

There are two well-known constructions of unitary premodular categories. The first is $\text{Rep}(D^\omega G)$: the representation category of the twisted quantum double of a finite group G . $D^\omega G$ is a semisimple $|G|^2$ -dimensional quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra (see [BK]), and $\text{Rep}(D^\omega G)$ is a modular category. The braid group representations were studied in [ERW] and found to have finite images. In particular the image of ρ_n^H where $H = D^\omega G$ is the left regular representation of $D^\omega G$ is found to be a subgroup of the full monomial group $S_n \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_s^n$ for some s and hence of permutation type. Since any simple object appears as a subobject of H , it follows that all images are of permutation type. The second set of examples come from representations of quantum groups at roots of unity (see e.g. [R]) or, equivalently, from fixed level representations of affine Kac-Moody algebras. Quantum groups of type A_k at 4th and 6th roots of unity yield modular categories supporting braid group representations with finite images. In fact, these representations factor over quotients of Hecke algebras $H(q, n)$ and are precisely those alluded to in the introduction. Quantum groups of type C_2 at 10th roots of unity also yield finite braid group images [J3], with images $\text{Sp}(n-1, \mathbb{F}_5)$. Here the object X of interest has $d_X = \sqrt{5}$, and for B_n with n odd, $\dim \text{End}(X^{\otimes n}) = (\sqrt{5})^{n-1}$ and is the metaplectic representation of $\text{Sp}(n-1, \mathbb{F}_5)$ with two irreducible subrepresentations of dimension $\frac{(\sqrt{5})^{n-1} \pm 1}{2}$. It appears that this can be generalized: there

is evidence that quantum groups of type B_k at $(4k+2)$ th roots of unity and D_k at $4k$ th roots of unity support braid group representations with finite symplectic groups as images.

REFERENCES

- [A] A. Alexeevski: Component groups of the centralizers of unipotent elements in semisimple algebraic groups. *Lie groups and invariant theory*, 15–31, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2, 213, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [BK] B. Bakalov and A. Kirillov, Jr.: *Lectures on Tensor Categories and Modular Functors*. University Lecture Series, 21, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.
- [BW] J. Birman and B. Wajnryb: Markov classes in certain finite quotients of Artin’s braid group. *Israel J. Math.* **56** (1986), no. 2, 160–178.
- [ENO] P. Etingof, D. Nikshych, and V. Ostrik: On fusion categories. *Ann. of Math. (2)* **162** (2005), no. 2, 581–642.
- [ERW] P. Etingof, E. C. Rowell, and S. J. Witherspoon: Braid group representations from quantum doubles of finite groups. *Pacific J. Math.* **234**, no. 1 (2008), 33–41.
- [FLW] M. H. Freedman, M. J. Larsen, and Z. Wang: The two-eigenvalue problem and density of Jones representation of braid groups. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **228** (2002), no. 1, 177–199.
- [F] E. Formanek: Braid group representations of low degree. *Proc. London Math. Soc. (3)* **73** (1996), no. 2, 279–322.
- [FLSV] E. Formanek, W. Lee, I. Sysoeva, and M. Vazirani: The irreducible complex representations of the braid group on n strings of degree $\leq n$. *J. Algebra Appl.* **2** (2003), no. 3, 317–333.
- [GJ] D. M. Goldschmidt and V. F. R. Jones: Metaplectic link invariants. *Geom. Dedicata* **31** (1989), no. 2, 165–191.
- [J1] V. F. R. Jones: Braid groups, Hecke algebras and type II_1 factors, *Geometric methods in operator algebras (Kyoto, 1983)*, 242–273, Pitman Res. Notes Math. Ser., 123, Longman Sci. Tech., Harlow, 1986.
- [J2] V. F. R. Jones: *Subfactors and Knots*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 80. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.
- [J3] V. F. R. Jones: On a certain value of the Kauffman polynomial. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **125** (1989), no. 3, 459–467.
- [LS] V. Landazuri and G. M. Seitz: On the minimal degrees of projective representations of the finite Chevalley groups. *J. Algebra* **32** (1974), 418–443.
- [LRW] M. J. Larsen, E. C. Rowell, and Z. Wang: The N -eigenvalue problem and two applications. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **2005** (2005), no. 64, 3987–4018.
- [M] K. Mizuno: The conjugate classes of unipotent elements of the Chevalley groups E_7 and E_8 . *Tokyo J. Math.* **3** (1980), no. 2, 391–461.
- [R] E. C. Rowell: From quantum groups to unitary modular tensor categories. in *Contemp. Math.* **413** (2006), 215–230.
- [Se1] G. M. Seitz: The maximal subgroups of classical algebraic groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **67** (1987), no. 365.

- [Se2] G. M. Seitz: Maximal subgroups of exceptional algebraic groups. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **90** (1991), no. 441.
- [St] R. Steinberg: *Endomorphisms of linear algebraic groups*. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 80.
- [Sy] I. Sysoeva: Dimension n representations of the braid group on n strings. *J. Algebra* **243** (2001), no. 2, 518–538.
- [T] V. G. Turaev: *Quantum Invariants of Knots and 3-Manifolds*. de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, 18. Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 1994.
- [W] B. Wajnryb: A braidlike presentation of $\mathrm{Sp}(n, p)$. *Israel J. Math.* **76** (1991), no. 3, 265–288.

E-mail address: mjlarsen@indiana.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, IN 47405, U.S.A.

E-mail address: rowell@math.tamu.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TEXAS A&M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION, TX 77843, U.S.A.